**Writing scenarios**

**What Users Need To Be Able To Do**

In order to observe participants you need to give them something to do. These assignments are frequently referred to as **tasks**.

Rather than simply ordering test users to "do X" with no explanation, it's better to situate the request within a short **scenario** that sets the stage for the action and provides a bit of explanation and context for why the user is "doing X."

Before you can write the task scenarios used in testing, you have to come up with a list of general user goals that visitors to your site (or application) may have. Ask yourself: What are the most important things that every user must be able to accomplish on the site?

For example, at a usability site, users *must* be able to accomplish 3 main goals:

* Find articles on a specific topic
* Sign up for usability seminars
* Learn about consulting services

**Engage Users with Task Scenarios**

Once you’ve figured out what the users' goals are, you need to formulate task scenarios that are appropriate for usability testing. A task scenario is the action that you ask the participant to take on the tested interface. For example, a task scenario could be:

*You're planning a vacation to New York City, June 3 − June 14.  You need to buy both airfare and hotel. Go to the American Airlines site and jetBlue Airlines site and see who has the best deals.*

Task scenarios need to provide context so users engage with the interface and pretend to perform business or personal tasks as if they were at home or in the office.

Poorly written task often focus too much on forcing users to interact with a specific feature, rather than seeing if and how the user chooses to use the interface. A scenario puts the task into context, and, thus, hopefully motivates the participant.

The following 3 task-writing tips will improve the outcome of your usability studies.

**(1) Make the Task Realistic**

User goal: Browse product offerings and purchase an item.  
Poor task: *Purchase a pair of orange Nike running shoes.*  
Better task: *Buy a pair of shoes for under $40.*

Asking a participant to do something that he wouldn’t normally do will make him try to complete the task without really engaging with the interface. Poorly written tasks make it harder for participants to suspend disbelief about actually owning the task. In the example the participant should have the freedom to compare products based on his own criteria.

Coming up with realistic tasks will depend on the participants that you recruit and on the features that you test. For example, if you test a hotel website, you need to make sure that the participants would be the ones in their family responsible for travel research and reservations.

Alternatively, you can decide to let the participants define their own tasks. For example, you could recruit users who are in the process of buying a car and let them continue their research during the session, instead of giving them a task scenario. (Field studies are ideal for observing users in their own environment as they perform their own tasks, but field studies are more expensive and time consuming.)

**(2) Make the Task Actionable**

User goal: Find movie and show times.  
Poor task: *You want to see a movie Sunday afternoon. Go to www.fandango.com and tell me where you’d click next.*  
Better task: *Use www.fandago.com to find a movie you’d be interested in seeing on Sunday afternoon.*

It’s best to ask the user to do the action, rather than asking them how she would do it. If you ask “How would you find a way to do X?” or “Tell me how you would do Y” the participant is likely to answer in words, not actions. And unfortunately, people’s self-reported data is not as accurate as when they actually use a system. Additionally, having them talk through what they would do doesn’t allow you to observe the ease or frustration that comes with using the interface.

You can tell that the task isn’t actionable enough if the participant turns to the facilitator, takes her hand off the mouse, and says something like “I would first click here, and then there would be a link to where I want to go, and I’d click on that.”

**(3) Avoid Clues and Describing the Steps**

User goal: Look up grades.  
Poor task: *You want to see the results of your midterm exams. Go to the website, sign in, and tell me where you would click to get your transcript.*  
Better task: *Look up the results of your midterm exams.*

Step descriptions often contain hidden clues as to how to use the interface. For example, if you tell someone to click on *Benefits* in the main menu, you won’t learn if that menu label is meaningful to her. These tasks bias users’ behavior and give you less useful results.

Task scenarios that include terms used in the interface also bias the users. If you’re interested in learning if people can sign up for the newsletter and your site has a large button labeled *Sign up for newsletter*, you should not phrase the task as "*Sign up for this company's weekly newsletter.*" It's better to use a task such as: “*Find a way to get information on upcoming events sent to your email on a regular basis*.”

Avoiding words used in the interface is not always easy or natural, and can even be confusing to users, especially if you try to derive roundabout ways to describe something that already has a standard, well-known name. In that case, you may want to use the established term  
Avoiding clues does not mean being vague. For example, compare the following 2 tasks:

Poor task: *Make an appointment with your dentist.*  
Better task: *Make an appointment for next Tuesday at 10am with your dentist, Dr. Petersen.*

You might think that this second task violates the guideline for tasks to be realistic if the user's dentist isn't really Dr. Petersen. However, this is one of those cases where users are very good at suspending disbelief and proceeding to make the appointment just as they would with a differently-named dentist. You might need to have the user pretend to be seeing Dr. Petersen if you're testing a paper prototype or other early prototype design that only includes a few dentists.

If the task scenario is too vague, the participant will likely ask you for the more information or will want to confirm that she is on the right path. Provide the participant with all the information that she needs to complete a task, without telling her where to click.

**Types of data you should be collecting**

* Time to complete a task
* Time to complete a task after a specified time away from the product
* Number and type of errors per task
* Number of errors per unit of time
* Number of navigations to online help or manuals
* Number of users making a particular error
* Number of users completing task successfully

**Summary**

During a usability test, mimic the real world as much as possible. Recruit representative users and ensure that each task scenario: 1) is realistic and typical for how people actually use the system, when they are on their own time, doing their own activities; 2) encourages users to interact with the interface; and 3) doesn’t give away the answer.